

Monotonically equivalent entropies and solution of additivity equation

Pavel Gorban

Omsk State University, Omsk, Russia

*ETH Zurich, Department of Materials, Institute of Polymers
ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland*

Abstract

Generalized entropies are studied as Lyapunov functions for the Master equation (Markov chains). Three basic properties of these Lyapunov functions are taken into consideration: universality (independence of the kinetic coefficients), trace-form (the form of sum over the states), and additivity (for composition of independent subsystems). All the entropies, which have all three properties simultaneously and are defined for positive probabilities, are found. They form a one-parametric family.

We consider also pairs of entropies S_1, S_2 , which are connected by the monotonous transformation $S_2 = F(S_1)$ (equivalent entropies). All classes of pairs of universal equivalent entropies, one of which has a trace-form, and another is additive (these entropies can be different one from another), were found. These classes consist of two one-parametric families: the family of entropies, which are equivalent to the additive trace-form entropies, and the family of Renyi-Tsallis entropies.

1 Introduction

The interest to non-classical entropies holds for many decades [1], [2], [3]. Some authors even compiled tables of entropies [4]. This interest is supported by the successes of “nonextensive statistical mechanics” in the description of different phenomena [6], [7].

There are many possible frameworks for the consideration of entropies. One of them is fixed by the following condition: entropy in isolated systems must grow monotonically with time for every physical kinetics. In this work we

Email address: PavelGorban@yandex.ru (Pavel Gorban).

investigate different entropies as Lyapunov functions for Markov chains [8], [9], [10].

The basic model we consider here is a finite Markov chain (finiteness and discreteness are by no means principal restrictions, and are employed only in order to avoid convergence questions). The time evolution of state probabilities p_i , where i is the discrete label of the state, is given by the Master equation

$$\dot{p}_i = \sum_{j, j \neq i} k_{ij} \left(\frac{p_j}{p_j^*} - \frac{p_i}{p_i^*} \right) \quad (1)$$

where p_i^* are the equilibrium probabilities and the coefficients must satisfy following condition

$$\sum_{j, j \neq i} k_{ij} = \sum_{j, j \neq i} k_{ji} \quad (2)$$

We consider only the systems which allow positive equilibrium, $p_i^* > 0$ (for infinite systems, it is often advantageous to use unnormalized p^*).

A function $H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^*)$ ($\mathbf{p} = (p_i)$, $\mathbf{p}^* = (p_i^*)$) is a Lyapunov function for the Markov chain (1), if its time derivative in accordance to (1) is non-positively defined ($\frac{dH}{dt} \leq 0$).

We will consider three important properties of Lyapunov functions $H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^*)$:

- 1) *Universality*: H is a Lyapunov function for Markov chains (1) with a given equilibrium $\mathbf{p}^*$ with every possible values of kinetic coefficients $k_{ij} \geq 0$
- 2) H is a *trace-form function*.

$$H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^*) = \sum_i f(p_i, p_i^*) \quad (3)$$

where f is a differentiable function of two variables.

- 3) H is *additive* for composition of independent subsystems. It means that if $\mathbf{p} = p_{ij} = q_i r_j$ and $\mathbf{p}^* = p_{ij}^* = q_i^* r_j^*$ then $H(\mathbf{p}) = H(\mathbf{q}) + H(\mathbf{r})$

Here and further we suppose $0 < p_i, p_i^*, q_i, q_i^*, r_i, r_i^* < 1$.

In the next sections we consider the additivity condition as a functional equation and solve it. In the section 2 we will describe all Lyapunov functions which have all three of these properties simultaneously and in the section 3 we will find all non-additive trace-form entropies, which become additive after monotonous transformation of the entropy scale.

2 Non-classic additive entropies

The following theorem describes all Lyapunov functions for Markov chains, which have all three properties 1) - 3) simultaneously.

Let $f(p, p^*)$ be a twice differentiable function of two variables.

Theorem 1. If a function $H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^*)$ has all the properties 1)-3) simultaneously, then

$$f(p, p^*) = p_i^* h\left(\frac{p}{p^*}\right), \quad H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^*) = \sum_i p_i^* h\left(\frac{p_i}{p_i^*}\right) \quad (4)$$

where

$$h(x) = C_1 \ln x + C_2 x \ln x, \quad C_1 \leq 0, \quad C_2 \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

Lemma 1. If a Lyapunov function $H(\mathbf{p})$ for the Markov chain is of the trace-form ($H(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_i f(p_i, p_i^*)$) and is universal, then $f(p, p^*) = p^* h(\frac{p}{p^*})$, where $h(x)$ is a convex function of one variable.

Proof. Let's consider a Markov chain with two states. For such a chain $\dot{p}_1 = q_{12}p_2^*\left(\frac{p_2}{p_2^*} - \frac{p_1}{p_1^*}\right) = -q_{21}p_1^*\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1^*} - \frac{p_2}{p_2^*}\right) = -\dot{p}_2$, (here $q_{ij}p_j^* = k_{ij}$) so as we have $\dot{H} \leq 0$ for H to be a Lyapunov function, we get an equation $\left(\frac{\partial f(p_2, p_2^*)}{\partial p_2} - \frac{\partial f(p_1, p_1^*)}{\partial p_1}\right)\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1^*} - \frac{p_2}{p_2^*}\right) \leq 0$, from which we can see that $\frac{\partial f(p, p^*)}{\partial p}$ is a monotonous function of $\frac{p}{p^*}$, so $f(p, p^*) = p^* h(\frac{p}{p^*})$, where h is a convex function of one variable.

Lemma 1 is proven.

Proof of the theorem 1. Let H have the form (4) and h to be twice differentiable in the interval $(0, +\infty)$. Then the additivity equation

$$H(\mathbf{p}) - H(\mathbf{q}) - H(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (6)$$

holds. Here (in (6))

$$\begin{aligned} q_n &= 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i, \quad r_m = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} r_j, \\ H(\mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{i,j} q_i^* r_j^* h\left(\frac{q_i r_j}{q_i^* r_j^*}\right), \quad H(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_i q_i^* h\left(\frac{q_i}{q_i^*}\right), \\ H(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_j r_j^* h\left(\frac{r_j}{r_j^*}\right), \quad \mathbf{p} = p_{ij} = q_i r_j. \end{aligned}$$

Let's take the derivatives of this equation first on q_1 and then on r_1 . Then we get the equation ($g(x) = h'(x)$)

$$g\left(\frac{q_1 r_1}{q_1^* r_1^*}\right) - g\left(\frac{q_n r_1}{q_n^* r_1^*}\right) - g\left(\frac{q_1 r_m}{q_1^* r_m^*}\right) + g\left(\frac{q_n r_m}{q_n^* r_m^*}\right) + \\ + \frac{q_1 r_1}{q_1^* r_1^*} g'\left(\frac{q_1 r_1}{q_1^* r_1^*}\right) - \frac{q_n r_1}{q_n^* r_1^*} g'\left(\frac{q_n r_1}{q_n^* r_1^*}\right) - \frac{q_1 r_m}{q_1^* r_m^*} g'\left(\frac{q_1 r_m}{q_1^* r_m^*}\right) + \frac{q_n r_m}{q_n^* r_m^*} g'\left(\frac{q_n r_m}{q_n^* r_m^*}\right) = 0$$

Lets denote $x = \frac{q_1 r_1}{q_1^* r_1^*}$, $y = \frac{q_n r_1}{q_n^* r_1^*}$, $z = \frac{q_1 r_m}{q_1^* r_m^*}$, and $\psi(x) = g(x) + xg'(x)$. It's obvious that if n and m are more than 2, then x , y and z are independent and can take any positive values. So, we get the functional equation:

$$\psi\left(\frac{yz}{x}\right) = \psi(y) + \psi(z) - \psi(x) \quad (7)$$

Let's denote $C_2 = -\psi(1)$ and $\psi_1(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha) - \psi(1)$ and take $x = 1$. We get then

$$\psi_1(yz) = \psi_1(y) + \psi_1(z) \quad (8)$$

the Cauchy functional equation. The solution of this equation in the class of measurable functions is $\psi_1(\alpha) = C_1 \ln \alpha$, where C_1 is constant. So we get $\psi(x) = C_1 \ln x + C_2$ and $g(x) + xg'(x) = C_1 \ln x + C_2$. The solution is $g(x) = \frac{C_3}{x} + C_1 \ln x + C_2 - C_1$; $h(x) = \int \left(\frac{C_3}{x} + C_1 \ln x + C_2 - C_1\right) dx = C_3 \ln x + C_1 x \ln x + (C_2 - 2C_1)x + C_4$, or, renaming constants, $h(x) = C_1 \ln x + C_2 x \ln x + C_3 x + C_4$. In the expression for $h(x)$ there are two parasite constants C_3 and C_4 which occurs because the initial equation was differentiated twice. So, $C_3 = 0$, $C_4 = 0$ and $h(x) = C_1 \ln x + C_2 x \ln x$. Because h is convex, we have $C_1 \leq 0$ and $C_2 \geq 0$.

Theorem 1 is proven.

In the case when $C_1 = 0$, the function $H(x)$ is continuous in the interval $[0, +\infty)$. When $C_1 \neq 0$ the function $h(x)$ is defined only in the open interval $(0, +\infty)$. Because of this reason one can easily not to consider the "Burg part" of the entropy $H(p) = \sum_i p_i (C_1 \ln \frac{p_i}{p_i^*} + C_2 \frac{p_i}{p_i^*} \ln \frac{p_i}{p_i^*})$ under an assumption that $H(p)$ is continuous if some of p_i are equal to zero [2].

There is one more entropy, called Hartley entropy, which can be written as $S(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_i \text{sign}(p_i)$, where $\text{sign}(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and $\text{sign}(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$. It is additive and it is of trace-form, but we have not found it because it is constant when $p_i > 0$.

Family of the Lyapunov functions (4), (5) was introduced for the first time in the book of A.Gorban [10]. The applicability of this entropy for the universal description of some systems out of thermodynamic limit was considered in

the work [9]. The part $C_1 \ln x$ corresponds to the Burg entropy [11], the part $C_2 x \ln x$ corresponds to the classical Boltzmann-Gibbs-Shannon entropy.

3 Trace-form entropies, which become additive after the monotonous transformation

There is a further class of very useful entropies $S(\mathbf{p})$, which are of the trace-form, but not additive, and after some monotonous transformation $S_1(\mathbf{p}) = F(S(\mathbf{p}))$ become additive, but loose their trace-form (such entropies $S(\mathbf{p})$ and $S_1(\mathbf{p})$ are in fact equivalent (from thermodynamic point of view), because the sets of isoentropic levels coincide). One well known example of such pair of entropies is ($q \neq 0$)

$$S(\mathbf{p}) = S_q^{(T)}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{1-q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^q - 1 \right) \quad \text{- Tsallis entropy and}$$

$$S_1(\mathbf{p}) = S_q^{(R)}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^q \right) \quad \text{- Renyi entropy}$$

$$\text{Here } S_1(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln((1-q)S(\mathbf{p}) + 1)$$

So, there is a problem of finding all the entropies with such properties. We will give an answer here.

The relation between Renyi entropy and Tsallis entropy was discussed many times. For example, S.Abe wrote “even starting with a nonextensive entropy, the thermodynamic entropy appearing at the macroscopic level has to be extensive, in accordance with Carathéodory’s theorem. This fact can be observed as transmutation from nonextensive Tsallis theory to extensive Renyi-entropy-based theory” [12].

If two entropies $S_1(\mathbf{p})$ and $S_2(\mathbf{p})$ are connected by the monotonous transformation

$$S_1(\mathbf{p}) = F(S_2(\mathbf{p})), S_2(\mathbf{p}) = F^{-1}(S_1(\mathbf{p})) \quad (9)$$

then solutions of two following conditional equilibrium problems coincide

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\mathbf{p}) &\rightarrow \max, \quad m(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{M} \\ S_2(\mathbf{p}) &\rightarrow \max, \quad m(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{M} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here $\mathbf{M}$ are “macroscopic variables”. Let’s denote the solution of (10) as $\mathbf{p}_M$ and $S_{1,2}(\mathbf{M}) = S_{1,2}(\mathbf{p}_M)$. The connection (9) holds for this “macroscopic” entropies (it’s obvious):

$$S_2(\mathbf{M}) = F(S_1(\mathbf{p}_M)), S_1(\mathbf{M}) = F^{-1}(S_2(\mathbf{p}_M)) \quad (11)$$

If entropies are connected by the monotonous transformation, then they are equivalent for solution of the conditional equilibrium problems (10). From this point of view nonextensive Tsallis thermodynamics is equivalent to the extensive Renyi thermodynamics (the discussion of sources of “extensivity of nonextensive thermodynamics” can be found also in the recent paper [13]). If one is interested not only in quasiequilibrium distributions (10), but also in numerical means of the entropies, then the differences between equivalent (9) entropies can be essential. For example, difference between Renyi and Tsallis entropies are displayed when one considers the stability of entropies in the thermodynamic limit [14]. Nevertheless, classes of monotonically equivalent entropies (9) in many aspects act as one single entropy.

We will look for all the classes of monotonically equivalent entropies, in which there is at least one trace-form entropy and one additive entropy. As in the previous part of the work, we consider non-increasing Lyapunov functions for Markov chains (minus-entropies).

The problem is to find all such universal and trace-form Lyapunov functions H for Markov chains, that there exists a monotonous function F , such that $F(H(\mathbf{p})) = F(H(\mathbf{q})) + F(H(\mathbf{r}))$ if $\mathbf{p} = p_{ij} = q_i r_j$.

With the Lemma 1 we get that $H(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i,j} q_i^* r_j^* h\left(\frac{q_i r_j}{q_i^* r_j^*}\right)$, $H(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_i q_i^* h\left(\frac{q_i}{q_i^*}\right)$, $H(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_j r_j^* h\left(\frac{r_j}{r_j^*}\right)$. Let $F(x)$ and $h(x)$ be differentiable as many times as needed. Differentiating the equality $F(H(\mathbf{p})) = F(H(\mathbf{q})) + F(H(\mathbf{r}))$ on r_1 and q_1 taking into account that $q_n = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} q_i$ and $r_m = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} r_j$ we get that $F'(H(\mathbf{p}))H''_{q_1 r_1}(\mathbf{p}) = -F''(H(\mathbf{p}))H'_{q_1}(\mathbf{p})H'_{r_1}(\mathbf{p})$, or, if $-\frac{F'(H(\mathbf{p}))}{F''(H(\mathbf{p}))} = G(H(\mathbf{p}))$ then

$$G(H(\mathbf{p})) = \frac{H'_{q_1}(\mathbf{p})H'_{r_1}(\mathbf{p})}{H''_{q_1 r_1}(\mathbf{p})} \quad (12)$$

It is possible if and only if every linear differential operator of the first order, which annihilates $H(\mathbf{p})$ and $\sum p_i$ annihilate also

$$\frac{H'_{q_1}(\mathbf{p})H'_{r_1}(\mathbf{p})}{H''_{q_1 r_1}(\mathbf{p})} \quad (13)$$

and it means that every differential operator which has the form

$$D = \left(\frac{\partial H(\mathbf{p})}{\partial q_\gamma} - \frac{\partial H(\mathbf{p})}{\partial q_\alpha} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\beta} + \left(\frac{\partial H(\mathbf{p})}{\partial q_\beta} - \frac{\partial H(\mathbf{p})}{\partial q_\gamma} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha} + \left(\frac{\partial H(\mathbf{p})}{\partial q_\alpha} - \frac{\partial H(\mathbf{p})}{\partial q_\beta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\gamma} \quad (14)$$

annulates (13). For $\beta = 2, \alpha = 3, \gamma = 4$ we get the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) & \left[h' \left(\frac{q_2 r_1}{q_2^* r_1^*} \right) - h' \left(\frac{q_2 r_m}{q_2^* r_m^*} \right) + \frac{q_2 r_1}{q_2^* r_1^*} h'' \left(\frac{q_2 r_1}{q_2^* r_1^*} \right) - \frac{q_2 r_m}{q_2^* r_m^*} h'' \left(\frac{q_2 r_m}{q_2^* r_m^*} \right) \right] + \\ F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) & \left[h' \left(\frac{q_3 r_1}{q_3^* r_1^*} \right) - h' \left(\frac{q_3 r_m}{q_3^* r_m^*} \right) + \frac{q_3 r_1}{q_3^* r_1^*} h'' \left(\frac{q_3 r_1}{q_3^* r_1^*} \right) - \frac{q_3 r_m}{q_3^* r_m^*} h'' \left(\frac{q_3 r_m}{q_3^* r_m^*} \right) \right] + \\ F_3(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) & \left[h' \left(\frac{q_4 r_1}{q_4^* r_1^*} \right) - h' \left(\frac{q_4 r_m}{q_4^* r_m^*} \right) + \frac{q_4 r_1}{q_4^* r_1^*} h'' \left(\frac{q_4 r_1}{q_4^* r_1^*} \right) - \frac{q_4 r_m}{q_4^* r_m^*} h'' \left(\frac{q_4 r_m}{q_4^* r_m^*} \right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) &= \sum_j r_j \left[h' \left(\frac{q_4 r_j}{q_4^* r_j^*} \right) - h' \left(\frac{q_3 r_j}{q_3^* r_j^*} \right) \right]; \\ F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) &= \sum_j r_j \left[h' \left(\frac{q_2 r_j}{q_2^* r_j^*} \right) - h' \left(\frac{q_4 r_j}{q_4^* r_j^*} \right) \right]; \\ F_3(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) &= \sum_j r_j \left[h' \left(\frac{q_3 r_j}{q_3^* r_j^*} \right) - h' \left(\frac{q_2 r_j}{q_2^* r_j^*} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we apply the differential operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial r_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_3}$, which annulate our conservation law $\sum_j r_j = 1$, to the left part of (15), and denote $f(x) = xh''(x) + h'(x)$, $x_1 = \frac{q_2}{q_2^*}$, $x_2 = \frac{q_3}{q_3^*}$, $x_3 = \frac{q_4}{q_4^*}$, $y_1 = \frac{r_1}{r_1^*}$, $y_2 = \frac{r_m}{r_m^*}$, $y_3 = \frac{r_2}{r_2^*}$, $y_4 = \frac{r_3}{r_3^*}$, we get the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (f(x_3 y_3) - f(x_2 y_3) - f(x_3 y_4) + f(x_2 y_4))(f(x_1 y_1) - f(x_1 y_2)) + \\ & (f(x_1 y_3) - f(x_3 y_3) - f(x_1 y_4) + f(x_3 y_4))(f(x_2 y_1) - f(x_2 y_2)) + \\ & (f(x_2 y_3) - f(x_1 y_3) - f(x_2 y_4) + f(x_1 y_4))(f(x_3 y_1) - f(x_3 y_2)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

or, after differentiation on y_1 and y_3 and denotation $g(x) = f'(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 g(x_1 y_1) (x_3 g(x_3 y_3) - x_2 g(x_2 y_3)) + x_2 g(x_2 y_1) (x_1 g(x_1 y_3) - \\ & - x_3 g(x_3 y_3)) + x_3 g(x_3 y_1) (x_2 g(x_2 y_3) - x_1 g(x_1 y_3)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

If $y_3 = 1$, $y_1 \neq 0$, $\varphi(x) = xg(x)$, we get after multiplication (17) on y_1

$$\varphi(x_1 y_1)(\varphi(x_3) - \varphi(x_2)) + \varphi(x_2 y_1)(\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_3)) + \varphi(x_3 y_1)(\varphi(x_2) - \varphi(x_1)) = 0 \quad (18)$$

It implies that for every three positive numbers α, β, γ the functions $\varphi(\alpha x)$, $\varphi(\beta x)$, $\varphi(\gamma x)$ are lineary dependent, and for $\varphi(x)$ the differential equation

$$ax^2\varphi''(x) + bx\varphi'(x) + c\varphi(x) = 0$$

holds. This differential equation has solutions of two kinds:

1) $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^{k_1} + C_2 x^{k_2}$, $k_1 \neq k_2$, k_1 and k_2 are real or complex-conjugate numbers.

2) $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^k + C_2 x^k \ln x$.

Let's check, which of these solutions satisfy the equation (18).

1) $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^{k_1} + C_2 x^{k_2}$. After substitution of this into (18) and calculations we get $C_1 C_2 (y_1^{k_1} - y_1^{k_2})(x_1^{k_1} x_3^{k_2} - x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} + x_1^{k_2} x_2^{k_1} - x_2^{k_1} x_3^{k_2} + x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_1} - x_1^{k_2} x_3^{k_1}) = 0$. It means that $C_1 = 0$, or $C_2 = 0$, or $k_1 = 0$, or $k_2 = 0$ and the solution of this kind can have only the form $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^k + C_2$.

2) $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^k + C_2 x^k \ln x$. After substitution of this into (18) and some calculations if $y_1 \neq 0$ we get $C_2^2 ((x_1^k - x_2^k)x_3^k \ln x_3 + (x_3^k - x_1^k)x_2^k \ln x_2 + (x_2^k - x_3^k)x_1^k \ln x_1) = 0$. It mean that or $C_2 = 0$ and the solution is $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^k$, or $k = 0$ and the solution is $\varphi(x) = C_1 + C_2 \ln x$.

So, the equation (18) has two kinds of solutions:

1) $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^k + C_2$

2) $\varphi(x) = C_1 + C_2 \ln x$.

Lets solve the equation $f(x) = xh''(x) + h'(x)$ for each of these two cases.

1) $\varphi(x) = C_1 x^k + C_2$; $g(x) = C_1 x^{k-1} + \frac{C_2}{x}$ there are two possibilities.

1.1) $k = 0$. Then $g(x) = \frac{C}{x}$, $f(x) = C \ln x + C_1$, $h(x) = C_1 x \ln x + C_2 \ln x + C_3 x + C_4$.

1.2) $k \neq 0$. Then $f(x) = Cx^k + C_1 \ln x + C_2$, and here are also two possibilities.

1.2.1) $k = -1$. Then $h(x) = C_1 \ln^2 x + C_2 x \ln x + C_3 \ln x + C_4 x + C_5$.

1.2.2) $k \neq -1$. Then $h(x) = C_1 x^{k+1} + C_2 x \ln x + C_3 \ln x + C_4 x + C_5$

$$2)\varphi(x) = C_1 + C_2 \ln x; g(x) = C_1 \frac{\ln x}{x} + \frac{C_2}{x}; f(x) = C_1 \ln^2 x + C_2 \ln x + C_3; \\ h(x) = C_1 x \ln^2 x + C_2 x \ln x + C_3 \ln x + C_4 x + C_5$$

(We have renamed constants during the calculations).

For the next step let's see, which of these solutions remains good for equation (15). The result is that there are just two families of functions $h(x)$ such, that equation (15) holds:

$$1)h(x) = Cx^k + C_1x + C_2, k \neq 0, k \neq 1, \text{ and}$$

$$2)h(x) = C_1x \ln x + C_2 \ln x + C_3x + C_4$$

From these equalities it is easy to see, that all universal Lyapunov functions H for Markov chains, for which a monotonous function F exists, such that $F(H(\mathbf{p})) = F(H(\mathbf{q})) + F(H(\mathbf{r}))$ if $\mathbf{p} = p_{ij} = q_i r_j$, have the form

$$H(\mathbf{p}) = C \sum_i p_i^* \left(\frac{p_i}{p_i^*}\right)^k + C_1 \quad (19)$$

where $k \neq 0$ and $k \neq 1$, $C \geq 0$, or of the form

$$H(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_i p_i^* \left(C_1 \frac{p_i}{p_i^*} \ln \frac{p_i}{p_i^*} + C_2 \ln \frac{p_i}{p_i^*}\right) + C_3 \quad (20)$$

where $C_1 \leq 0$ and $C_2 \geq 0$.

So, there are two families of such entropies:

- 1) Classes of equivalent entropies of Renyi - Tsallis (19);
- 2) Classes of entropies, which are monotonically equivalent to additive trace-form entropies (20).

4 One remark about dimension

Formally in our problems we considered Lyapunov functions in the form $H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^*)$ for Markov chains with every finite number of states. But in the proofs it's enough to use only Markov chains with a small number of states:

- 1) In the Lemma 1 (transition from the function of two variables $f(p, p^*)$ to $p^* h(\frac{p}{p^*})$) it's enough to consider Markov chains with two states.

2) In the Theorem 1 (the description of all additive trace-form Lyapunov functions for Markov chains) it is enough to consider subsystems with 3 states and unification of two independent systems, each of which has three states.

3) In the last result (the characterization of all classes of monotonically equivalent entropies, in which there is one trace-form entropy and one additive entropy) it is enough to consider systems with 6 states and their unification (probably, it is enough to consider systems with 4 states, but it is not proven).

5 Concluding remarks

Axiomatic characterization of entropy by its properties is a very old and well investigated topic [15]. The development of nonextensive thermodynamics forces us to revisit old questions [16], [17]. In particular, it is the question about the frames of investigation and using of the entropies.

We consider entropy as a Lyapunov function for Markov chains and as a measure of deviation from equilibrium. In the class of such functions we have found all the entropies, which have the following three properties simultaneously: universality, trace-form and additivity. This is a family of additive entropies [10], [9], [18]. Weaker restriction for the entropy is that in one scale the entropy has the trace-form, and after the monotonous transformation of the scale it becomes additive (but can lose the trace-form). There is one more class of monotonically equivalent entropies, which satisfies these restrictions - the class of Renyi-Tsallis entropies.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Dr. I.Karlin for his interest and advises, Prof. Dr. A.Gorban for the productive discussions of the topic, Prof. Dr. H.C.Oettinger for his critical view at the paper, S.Ansumali and Dr.A.Zinovyev for their helpful advises on the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Renyi, Probability theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [2] J. Aczel, Measuring information beyond communication theory. Information processing & management Vol.20, No. 3 (1984) 383-395.
- [3] C. Tsallis, Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. J. Stat. Phys., 52 (1988) 479-487.
- [4] M.D. Esteban, D.Morales, A summary of entropy statistics, Kybernetika, vol. 31, No 4 (1995) 337-346.

- [5] <http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br.biblio.html>
- [6] S. Abe, Y. Okamoto (Eds.), Nonextensive statistical mechanics and its applications, Springer, Heidelberg, 2001.
- [7] C. Beck. Non-additivity of Tsallis entropies and fluctuations of temperature, Europhys. Lett. 57, (2002) 329-335 (arXiv: cond-mat/0105371 v1 18 May 2001).
- [8] T. Morimoto, Markov processes and the H-theorem. - J. Phys. Soc. Jap., V.12 (1963) 328-331.
- [9] A. Gorban, I. Karlin, Family of additive entropy functions out of thermodynamic limit, Phys. Rev. E, 67 (2003) 016104.
- [10] A.N. Gorban, Equilibrium encircling: equations of chemical kinetics and their thermodynamic analysis, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1984.
- [11] J.P. Burg, The Relationship Between Maximum Entropy Spectra and Maximum Likelihood Spectra, Geophysics, 37(2) (1972) 375-376.
- [12] S. Abe, Heat and entropy in nonextensive thermodynamics: transmutation from Tsallis theory to Renyi-entropy-based theory. Physica A 300 (2001) 417-423.
- [13] E. Vives, A. Planes. Is Tsallis thermodynamics nonextensive? Phys. Rev. Lett, 88, No 2, (2002) 12061.
- [14] S. Abe. Stability of Tsallis entropy and instabilities of Renyi and normalized Tsallis entropies: A basis for q-exponential distributions. Physical Review E, 66 (2002) 046134.
- [15] J. Aczel, Z. Daroczy. On measures of information and their characterizations. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [16] S. Abe, Axioms and uniqueness theorem for Tsallis entropy. Phys. Lett. A 271 (2000) 74-79.
- [17] G. Kaniadakis, Statistical mechanics in the context of special relativity. Phys. Rev. E 66 (2002) 056125.
- [18] A.N. Gorban, I.V. Karlin and H. C. Ottinger, The additive generalization of the Boltzmann entropy. arXiv: cond-mat/0209319 v1 13 Sep 2002.